

Methodology for Computer Science

Research Lecture 1: Introduction

Andrey Lukyanenko

Department of Computer Science and Engineering
 Aalto University, School of Science
 T-110.6130@aalto.fi

September 13, 2012

Course overview

Code: T-110.6130

Name: Methodology for Computer Science Research

Contact: T-110.6130@aalto.fi

Aim: Study of methods, tools, and development of reading and writing skills.

Structure: 6 Method lectures, 2 presentations, half lectures are removed for home study.


To pass the course...

... during the course:

1. Pick *one research topic* of your interest: select one of the provided by us or choose yourself (**be cautious!**).
2. Attend the lectures: Thu/Tue, 16:15-18:00 at T5
13 Sep, 20 Sep, 04 Oct, 11 Oct, 18 Oct, 30 Oct, 06 Nov.
3. Write *diaries* after each lecture related to the methods and your topic.
4. Review *diaries* of others in a week after each lecture.
5. Write an *assignment* on the *topic* you chose (here the diaries could help).
6. *Short presentations* on your *topic* **11 Dec** and **12 Dec**.
7. Checkout English courses in Language Center if you need help?

To pass the course...

... during the course:



Credits and grading

Credits: **5 credits**

Grading:

- ▶ Diaries give 50% of the mark. (g_d)
 - ▶ Presentation gives 20% of the mark. (g_p)
 - ▶ Assignment gives 50% of the mark. (g_a)
- The final grade g will be calculated as

$$g = \min \left\{ \frac{50 \cdot g_d + 20 \cdot g_p + 50 \cdot g_a}{100}, 5 \right\}.$$

Assignment topics

Each student have to pick up one topic. During the course produce analysis of the topic it with studied methods.

Topics are...

- ▶ Congestion control in TCP.
- ▶ Fairness in TCP.
- ▶ Distributed Hash Tables (DHTs).
- ▶ Unstructured Peer-to-Peer (p2p).
- ▶ Cloud computing Systems.
- ▶ Mesh Networks.
- ▶ Sensor Networks.
- ▶ Ad-hoc Networks.
- ▶ Social media.
- ▶ Delay tolerant networks.
- ▶ ...

Assignment topics (cntd)

- ▶ Security in DHT.
- ▶ Internet of things.
- ▶ Datacenter architecture.
- ▶ BitTorrent protocol (tit-for-tat).
- ▶ Routing protocols in the Internet.
- ▶ Publish/Subscribe systems.
- ▶ P2P reputation systems.
- ▶ Energy consumptions in Wireless systems.
- ▶ Denial-of-Service attack.
- ▶ Multicast protocols.

OR you can choose your own topic.

It may be what you have as Master Thesis topic, or any topic you are interested in.



Structure of the Course

Course outline:

- 1) 13.09 Introduction (this lecture).
 - 2) 20.09 Computer Simulation.
 - 3) 04.10 Data analysis.
 - 4) 11.10 Mathematical modeling.
 - 5) 18.10 Academic programming.
 - 6) 30.10 Experimental research.
 - 7) 06.11 Network business models.
 - 8) 10.12 Presentation 1.
 - 9) 11.12 Presentation 2.
- Assignment deadline is 01.12.



Studying process (1/3)

- ▶ After this lecture pick up own topic or 3 of our topics,
- ▶ Send a topic or the list to T-110.6130@aalto.fi with title "T-110.6130 assignment topic" (easier to find).
- ▶ Inside e-mail write your own topic; also say few words about the reason why did you choose it,
- ▶ or list 3 topics by priority from the provided ones, e.g.

"My priority list of topics is:

1. TCP.
 2. DHT.
 3. DoS attack"
- or "Own topic: <Title> (I choose it because it's my MSC topic)".

- ▶ Before lecture 2 you will be provided with a unique topic.



Assignment topics (cntd)

- ▶ Security in DHT.
- ▶ Internet of things.
- ▶ Datacenter architecture.
- ▶ BitTorrent protocol (tit-for-tat).
- ▶ Routing protocols in the Internet.
- ▶ Publish/Subscribe systems.
- ▶ P2P reputation systems.
- ▶ Energy consumptions in Wireless systems.
- ▶ Denial-of-Service attack.
- ▶ Multicast protocols.

OR you can choose your own topic.

It may be what you have as Master Thesis topic, or any topic you are interested in.



Structure of the Course

Course outline:

- 1) 13.09 Introduction (this lecture).
 - 2) 20.09 Computer Simulation.
 - 3) 04.10 Data analysis.
 - 4) 11.10 Mathematical modeling.
 - 5) 18.10 Academic programming.
 - 6) 30.10 Experimental research.
 - 7) 06.11 Network business models.
 - 8) 10.12 Presentation 1.
 - 9) 11.12 Presentation 2.
- Assignment deadline is 01.12.



Studying process (1/3)

- ▶ After this lecture pick up own topic or 3 of our topics,
- ▶ Send a topic or the list to T-110.6130@aalto.fi with title "T-110.6130 assignment topic" (easier to find).
- ▶ Inside e-mail write your own topic; also say few words about the reason why did you choose it,
- ▶ or list 3 topics by priority from the provided ones, e.g.

"My priority list of topics is:

1. TCP.
 2. DHT.
 3. DoS attack"
- or "Own topic: <Title> (I choose it because it's my MSC topic)".

- ▶ Before lecture 2 you will be provided with a unique topic.



Studying process (2/3)

After each methodological lecture (lectures 2-7) you

- ▶ write a short diary note (1 page IEEE double column format, no need to write too much, no need for introduction, title or conclusion; see Diary Instructions in Noppa.)
- ▶ upload (pdf and text) the diary to opt.ima.aa1to.fi

When logged in to optima, you will find T-110.6130 workspace with diary subsection. The diary on previously studied method should be uploaded before next lecture (or during one week)

- ▶ review 3 other's diaries from previous lectures (Diary grade is a combination of your diary quality and your review quality; Reviews are given as comments in optima.)

In this short diary you write how to use exactly given research method for your topic.

Warning: Avoid unnecessary information in diaries. Abstract, Introduction and Conclusion will be only in final assignment.



Studying process (3/3)

- ▶ Last 2 lectures are presentations. All students will have short presentations (≈ 5min) on what they have studied during the course on their selected topic.

- ▶ One week before the presentations is an assignment deadline. The assignment is to cover the topic you choose with methodological view.
- Your paper should:

- ▶ contain a short introduction to the topic,
- ▶ clearly state all methods used to study the topic in literature, compare them (pro and con),
- ▶ present own thoughts: what in the study is missing and why?

Remember: Your diaries on the same topic will help you with the final assignment!



What is this course about?

This course is about Scientific Research in the field of Computer Science (more precisely, in the field of Data Communications).

The course tries to answer on the questions:

- ▶ How to do the Scientific Research?
- ▶ How to do the Scientific Research efficiently?
- ▶ How to do what a Scientific Community needs, in the form which the Scientific Community demands?
- ▶ How to present your Scientific Research to the Community?

Although, the above in context of Scientific Research, the same skills are useful in any kind of IT related work.



What is Computer Science Research?

It is about studying an Idea: **your Idea**.

Novelty of the Idea.

Research is a study of new ideas in the field where the research belongs to.

Significance for the Community.

One of the most important questions of research is to understand what kind of idea is actually needed for the community "today".

Contribution from the Researcher.

The amount of efforts made by a researcher to study the idea.

But before...

Accessing the publications

1. Traditional way: Go to the library and get an article or order one (an obsolete way).

Unfortunately, the articles and conference books in the library are quite old. Some journals are available in the coffee room.

2. Internally: Inside Aalto University ACM, IEEE, Springer, etc websites allows to fetch articles freely.
3. Remotely: Outside Aalto University you can fetch them
 - ▶ directly from the Internet, some of them are publicly available
 - ▶ indirectly using the search site nelliportaa11.fi or adding the proxy libproxy.aalto.fi, e.g. portal.acm.org.libproxy.aalto.fi

But before...

... understanding *Novelty* and *Significance* you have to know the **state-of-the-art** of knowledge in Scientific Community.

How to be up-to-date?

1. **Read** recent journal articles, and conference papers. Almost all of them has "History", "Introduction" and "Future work" parts. (they correspond to "Past", "Current" and "Possible Future" of the research.)
2. **Talk** to colleagues and scientific advisers : (they may suggest ideas and explain the field development, without studying).
3. **Observe** the business tendency and technology levels (news from industry).
4. **Look through** the visions of the future (Sometimes knowledgeable people publish their visions of the future).

Literature sources

The **search engines** (and sources) for scientific publications.

- ▶ Google Scholar: <http://scholar.google.com>
- ▶ Academic Microsoft: <http://academic.research.microsoft.com>
- ▶ ACM Portal: <http://portal.acm.org>
- ▶ IEEE xplore: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org>

Especially, papers published in **famous conferences**, e.g.,

- ▶ ACM SIGCOMM: <http://www.sigcomm.org>
- ▶ INFOCOM: <http://www.ieee-infocom.org>

Additionally, many famous publications appear in less famous, but still important conferences.

AR - acceptance rates for the conferences and **IF** - impact factor for the journals.

Writing as a part of Research

The writing refers to the **production** of own Research (recall *Contribution!*).

Writing:

- ▶ allows you to document your work for own needs.
- ▶ allows others to see your work, to see that you are actually working.
- ▶ putting ideas on a paper allows to polish them and even invent new or extend existing Idea.

Writing is always hard in the middle of research, but it will greatly help you later if you put on the paper even small ideas, points, thoughts.

Reading as a part of Research

The reading refers to the **studying** of the field (remember *Significance* and *Novelty?*).

Reading:

- ▶ adds knowledge about the field.
- ▶ adds the confidence in own knowledge about the field.
- ▶ helps new Research Ideas to pop up in the mind.

Do not underestimate the Reading as a part of Research:

- ▶ Even if you have the full confidence in the new Idea, check the literature, search for it.
- ▶ If the idea popped up after reading some paper, check who citing this paper. May be the idea was already developed.

Remember: the previously mentioned paper search engines are able to search by criteria: **"cited by"**.

Reading ↔ Writing

Question: When should I switch from reading to writing?

Answer: Never.

- ▶ Starting the research you mainly read.
- ▶ Finishing the research you mainly write.
- ▶ In between, you write, but continue to keep abreast of the development of the Community.

Conferences happen all the time, papers appears. If you produce your research based on other authors paper, always check who is citing it.

Question: When to switch from mainly reading to mainly writing?

Answer: Whenever you have confidence in the field and double checked the Idea.

What is an outcome of the Research?

Accomplished research is determined by *written results*.

Outcome of the Research may be

- ▶ a survey of the field, if it is necessary overview, timely and shows new facets of the field,
- ▶ a new algorithm/protocol, if it gives some benefits compared to already existing ones,
- ▶ a mathematical model of a protocol/algorithm, if it is better predicts different features of the protocol/algorithm,
- ▶ a performance measurement of existing protocols, with additional analysis
- ▶ many more...

All these are "**Scientific Findings**".

Your **written** results should **address it clearly**.

How to develop a new "clever" idea?

There is no rule for Idea generation process, but when you have an *Idea* remember:

"There is nothing new except what is forgotten" (c) Rose Bertin



- ▶ Whenever you have a new idea, double check that it was not studied previously. Even in close/related fields.
- ▶ It can be an old idea from a different field, but was forgotten and the time for it has come.

The checking allows to skip waste of time to study something that already was studied and will concentrate on application of the idea to the field.

Before the research...

There is a big difference **what you see** when you look inside your paper, and **what others see!**

Good to know from the start: Peer Review a common practice in the Scientific Community.

An Example: Peer-review process in SIGCOMM: Papagiannaki, K. and Rizzo, L.: 2009, *The ACM SIGCOMM 2009 technical program committee process*. SIGCOMM Comput. Commun. Rev. 39, 3 (Jun. 2009), 43-48

Find and read the paper yourself, you know how and also read the one which is citing it!

TPC Review System (An Example)

Traditional points of review process:

- *** **Contribution:** Rate the evaluation of work and contribution.
- *** **Significance:** Rate the significance to theory and practice.
- *** **Novelty:** Rate the originality and novelty.
- *** **Relevance:** How relevant is the paper to the call for papers?
- *** **Readability:** Rate the readability and organization of the content.
- *** **Overall recommendation:** Would you recommend this paper for conference?
- *** **Best paper award:** Do you consider the paper a candidate for a best-paper award?
- *** **Detailed comments**

When you are finally ready!

Ready?

- ▶ You have the Idea.
- ▶ You have the confidence in the idea (novelty and significance).
- ▶ You need Contribution!!!

This is what the course is about.

The optimal process of the idea study is not unique and is fully dependent on the case, however, it has a set of known study methods:

- ▶ Mathematical Modeling.
- ▶ Computer Simulation.
- ▶ Experimental research.
- ▶ Data Analysis.
- ▶ Software Development (demo or product).

Methods: Mathematical Modeling

Mathematical modeling is a research method that performs the problem abstraction, when different properties of a system are defined using a set of parameters and interactions of these properties are defined with functions and inequalities over the parameters.

Mathematical modeling provides a set of features, it allows to

- ▶ investigate properties of the whole system, based on a subset of measured parameters.
- ▶ see the system's asymptotic behavior.
- ▶ find optimal conditions for a system.

Methods: Computer Simulation

Computer Simulation is a research method, when a small sample program representing the studying algorithm/protocol is created for an existing toolkit (or seldom from the scratch), which simulates the network, computer or system work.

Computer Simulation allows to

- ▶ produce “cheap” evaluation research.
- ▶ do research, when the development time is crucial.
- ▶ do research, when the sources (money, number of devices) are limited.
- ▶ do research even in the black-box architecture, real development is limited.

Methods: Experimental research

Experimental research is a research method, which is mainly based on an experimentation.

Experimental research allows to

- ▶ produce a research even in case if the modeling is difficult.
- ▶ acquire result, when a simulation may be a very slow process.
- ▶ see non-trivial dependencies between parameters.

Methods: Software development

Software development is a part of the research, when a product-like software (demo) is produced. Sometimes it is even in the form of commercial product, i.e., this methods allows to show that the research idea is fully feasible.

Software development allows to:

- ▶ create a proof-of-concept.
- ▶ see design errors/pitfalls in the idea.
- ▶ produce the research with most realistic environment.

Methods: Data Analysis

Data Analysis is a research method, that in a form of a bridge, connects together other methods, allowing to compare results, make the research consistent in different aspects and produce estimations for parameters.

Data Analysis allows to

- ▶ match mathematical models and experimental research.
- ▶ give estimations on the parameters for models and algorithms.
- ▶ prove some properties with high probability rates.
- ▶ provide reader with easily readable and understandable data.


Methods: Networking business methods

Networking business research methods are the research methods examines telecommunications from the business point of view.


Networking business methods allows to

- ▶ study commercialization of the research.
- ▶ focus on the factors affecting the commercial success.
- ▶ predict future trends of the research and industry.


Iterative process: Big Cycles



Iterative process: Short Cycles




Iterative process: with paper outcomes




On the paper:

Section	Content
-	Title
-	Abstract
1	Introduction
2	History (Related work)
3	Idea, Algorithm
4	Model
5	Simulation, Measurements
6	Evaluation, Data Analysis
7	Implementation (Demo)
8	Discussion (Results), Future work
9	Conclusion
-	Reference

On the paper: General.



On the paper: Abstract.



On the paper: Introduction.

Chord: A Scalable Peer-to-peer Lookup Service for Internet Applications

Abstract

Introduction: In this paper, we present the current state of the art in peer-to-peer lookup services. We give some basic assumptions and outline our contributions.

1. Introduction

2. Related Work

3. System Architecture

4. Evaluation

5. Conclusion

References

Figure 1: A line graph showing the number of peers in the network over time. The x-axis is labeled 'Time' and the y-axis is labeled 'Number of Peers'. The graph shows a steady increase in the number of peers over time, reaching a plateau around 1000 peers.

Figure 2: A line graph showing the number of peers in the network over time. The x-axis is labeled 'Time' and the y-axis is labeled 'Number of Peers'. The graph shows a steady increase in the number of peers over time, reaching a plateau around 1000 peers.

Introduction: In this paper, we present the current state of the art in peer-to-peer lookup services. We give some basic assumptions and outline our contributions.

On the paper: Introduction.

Chord: A Scalable Peer-to-peer Lookup Service for Internet Applications

Abstract

Introduction: In this paper, we present the current state of the art in peer-to-peer lookup services. We give some basic assumptions and outline our contributions.

1. Introduction

2. Related Work

3. System Architecture

4. Evaluation

5. Conclusion

References

Figure 1: A line graph showing the number of peers in the network over time. The x-axis is labeled 'Time' and the y-axis is labeled 'Number of Peers'. The graph shows a steady increase in the number of peers over time, reaching a plateau around 1000 peers.

Figure 2: A line graph showing the number of peers in the network over time. The x-axis is labeled 'Time' and the y-axis is labeled 'Number of Peers'. The graph shows a steady increase in the number of peers over time, reaching a plateau around 1000 peers.

The first part of introduction is hardest part. It always wordiness to use in the beginning.

On the paper: Introduction.

Chord: A Scalable Peer-to-peer Lookup Service for Internet Applications

Abstract

Introduction: In this paper, we present the current state of the art in peer-to-peer lookup services. We give some basic assumptions and outline our contributions.

1. Introduction

2. Related Work

3. System Architecture

4. Evaluation

5. Conclusion

References

Figure 1: A line graph showing the number of peers in the network over time. The x-axis is labeled 'Time' and the y-axis is labeled 'Number of Peers'. The graph shows a steady increase in the number of peers over time, reaching a plateau around 1000 peers.

Figure 2: A line graph showing the number of peers in the network over time. The x-axis is labeled 'Time' and the y-axis is labeled 'Number of Peers'. The graph shows a steady increase in the number of peers over time, reaching a plateau around 1000 peers.

Normally, the first sentence of each paragraph is general knowledge, while the last sentence provides the ground for the first sentence.

When you start writing an abstract, you should start with a set of general sentences, and then you can start with more specific details about those.

On the paper: Related work.

Chord: A Scalable Peer-to-peer Lookup Service for Internet Applications

Abstract

Introduction: In this paper, we present the current state of the art in peer-to-peer lookup services. We give some basic assumptions and outline our contributions.

1. Introduction

2. Related Work

3. System Architecture

4. Evaluation

5. Conclusion

References

Figure 1: A line graph showing the number of peers in the network over time. The x-axis is labeled 'Time' and the y-axis is labeled 'Number of Peers'. The graph shows a steady increase in the number of peers over time, reaching a plateau around 1000 peers.

Figure 2: A line graph showing the number of peers in the network over time. The x-axis is labeled 'Time' and the y-axis is labeled 'Number of Peers'. The graph shows a steady increase in the number of peers over time, reaching a plateau around 1000 peers.

Related work section contains the most significant knowledge concerning the topic. It is the starting point of any article or any scientific work. During when you start studying well aware of the topic.

On the paper: Finalizing the paper.

Chord: A Scalable Peer-to-peer Lookup Service for Internet Applications

Abstract

Introduction: In this paper, we present the current state of the art in peer-to-peer lookup services. We give some basic assumptions and outline our contributions.

1. Introduction

2. Related Work

3. System Architecture

4. Evaluation

5. Conclusion

References

Figure 1: A line graph showing the number of peers in the network over time. The x-axis is labeled 'Time' and the y-axis is labeled 'Number of Peers'. The graph shows a steady increase in the number of peers over time, reaching a plateau around 1000 peers.

Figure 2: A line graph showing the number of peers in the network over time. The x-axis is labeled 'Time' and the y-axis is labeled 'Number of Peers'. The graph shows a steady increase in the number of peers over time, reaching a plateau around 1000 peers.

In discussion of future work, questions related to the work, the scope of the paper are all important to mention explicitly.

Additionally, here you can discuss possible future work and problems of the paper. You can strengthen your paper, you can add more details, you can be aware of these and at least know how to work to overcome them, or you can discuss them.

On the paper: Discussion.

Chord: A Scalable Peer-to-peer Lookup Service for Internet Applications

Abstract

Introduction: In this paper, we present the current state of the art in peer-to-peer lookup services. We give some basic assumptions and outline our contributions.

1. Introduction

2. Related Work

3. System Architecture

4. Evaluation

5. Conclusion

References

Figure 1: A line graph showing the number of peers in the network over time. The x-axis is labeled 'Time' and the y-axis is labeled 'Number of Peers'. The graph shows a steady increase in the number of peers over time, reaching a plateau around 1000 peers.

Figure 2: A line graph showing the number of peers in the network over time. The x-axis is labeled 'Time' and the y-axis is labeled 'Number of Peers'. The graph shows a steady increase in the number of peers over time, reaching a plateau around 1000 peers.

In discussion of future work, questions related to the work, the scope of the paper are all important to mention explicitly.

Additionally, here you can discuss possible future work and problems of the paper. You can strengthen your paper, you can add more details, you can be aware of these and at least know how to work to overcome them, or you can discuss them.

On the paper: Conclusion.

Conclusions in the section for the final words about the work. Here you should mention the most important results mentioned in Abstract.

Novelty of your paper reveals something new or provides a new insight or idea for the abstract and introduction.

Here, however, you just have to summarize the results of your paper and probably also mention the corresponding field.

1. Future Work

Here you should mention the next steps in your research. This is a good place to mention the limitations of your work and the future directions of your research. It is also a good place to mention the impact of your work and the future directions of your research.

2. Acknowledgements

Here you should mention the people who helped you during your research. This is a good place to mention the people who helped you during your research. It is also a good place to mention the people who helped you during your research.

3. References

Here you should mention the references you used in your paper. This is a good place to mention the references you used in your paper. It is also a good place to mention the references you used in your paper.

On the paper: Acknowledgement.

Acknowledgements section is for the mentioning people who helped you during your work. You can mention here people who helped you and sometimes people who don't need (and have no obligation to put all those names). Sometimes people mistakenly put their names in the wrong place.

1. Future Work

Here you should mention the next steps in your research. This is a good place to mention the limitations of your work and the future directions of your research. It is also a good place to mention the impact of your work and the future directions of your research.

2. Acknowledgements

Here you should mention the people who helped you during your research. This is a good place to mention the people who helped you during your research. It is also a good place to mention the people who helped you during your research.

3. References

Here you should mention the references you used in your paper. This is a good place to mention the references you used in your paper. It is also a good place to mention the references you used in your paper.

On the paper: References.

References section is for all references you used in your paper. It is a good place to mention the references you used in your paper. It is also a good place to mention the references you used in your paper.

1. Future Work

Here you should mention the next steps in your research. This is a good place to mention the limitations of your work and the future directions of your research. It is also a good place to mention the impact of your work and the future directions of your research.

2. Acknowledgements

Here you should mention the people who helped you during your research. This is a good place to mention the people who helped you during your research. It is also a good place to mention the people who helped you during your research.

3. References

Here you should mention the references you used in your paper. This is a good place to mention the references you used in your paper. It is also a good place to mention the references you used in your paper.

Time usage

Try to optimize your time. Your paper should be multi-sided, address different aspects, different methods. Find time for every side, even for self-criticism.

Remember:

- ▶ Conferences happens all the time, but try to **aim at some conference with fixed date.**
- ▶ Put as much **deadlines** for yourself as possible. This help you to organize your time.
- ▶ All **documented small outcomes** that are collected in one work produce big work.
- ▶ Having all pieces on the paper put your ideas in order and allow **not to lose results.**
- ▶ **Find time** for reading (and studying), **find time** for writing.

Tools to use

Researcher has a various set of tools to use for all the Research methods. They are not emerging as often as new papers, but still remember to keep track of the current tools. They are created to help you.

There are a lot of tools for Data communication researcher:

- ▶ **Simulators** (NS-2, NS-3, OverSim, OMNeT++).
- ▶ **Development tools** (Eclipse, Visual Studio, EMacs).
- ▶ **Document preparation systems** (**WYSIWYG**) (TeX, MS Word, OpenOffice).
- ▶ **Networking tools** (BRITE, Wirshark).
- ▶ **Data Analysis tools** (R, GnuPlot).
- ▶ **Mathematical labs** (MatLab, Mathematica).
- ▶ **Networking labs** (PlanetLab, OneLab).

Other methods to increase own understanding

There is a set of other methods to increase the quality of a research:

- ▶ attend Computer Science courses and border Science courses.
- ▶ attend public presentations, especially with presenters from other groups and Universities.
- ▶ take part in the review process.

What else?

Non-formal collaboration!!!

Questions and Comments?

Thank you.